

Autonomous Robotic Inspection and Maintenance on Ship Hulls and Storage Tanks

Deliverable report – D1.1 (ii)

Context				
Deliverable title	Use Case Analysis and Requireme	Use Case Analysis and Requirements (ii)		
Lead beneficiary	DANAOS			
Author(s)	Fotis Oikonomou			
Work Package	WP01			
Deliverable due date	30 September 2021			
Document status				
Version No.	3			
Туре	REPORT			
Dissemination level	PUBLIC			
Last modified	17 January 2022			
Status	RELEASED			
Date approved	17 January 2022			
Approved by Coordinator	Prof. Cédric Pradalier (CNRS)	Signature:		
Declaration	Any work or result described therein is genuinely a result of the BUGWRIGHT2 project. Any other source will be properly referenced where and when relevant.			





Table of contents

1. Abstract		4
2. Intro	oduction	4
3. Stat	e of the Art in Hull Inspection and Cleaning-Technology	6
3.1.	Aerial Visual Inspections	6
3.2.	Above-water plate thickness measurements for ship hull and storage tanks	8
3.3.	Underwater visual inspections	10
3.4.	Underwater thickness measurements	14
3.5.	Underwater hull cleaning	15
3.6.	Navigation systems	17
4. End-	Users requirements	19
4.1.	Stakeholder workshop for requirements elicitation	19
4.2.	Ship Owner expectations	19
4.3.	Service provider requirements	21
4.4.	Hull Survey Standardisation, legal framework, and reporting requirements	26
5. Cons	olidated list (Matrix) of end-user requirements	30
6. Key	Performance Indicators (KPIs) for system validation	31
7. Miss	ion scenario definition	35
8. Cond	clusions	41
Referenc	es	42
Appendix		46



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:: Examples for airborne inspection and mapping applications already used in industry	6
Figure 2: Ship outer hull and storage tank thickness measurements (Credits: P.L Tzaneas & Partners,	
ROBOPLANET)	8
Figure 3: Crawler for hull thickness measurements (Credits: ROBOPLANET)	9
Figure 4: Picture X Ship inspection overlay example	12
Figure 5: Picture X – Example of a shipwreck mapped with photogrammetry	12
Figure 6: Underwater thickness measurement (Credit: MasterTech Diving Services)	14
Figure 7: Driver operated kart for cleaning	16
Figure 8: Photographic sequence of hull corrosion	22
Figure 9: Pitting intensity diagrams	23
Figure 10: Examples of sea-growth organisms	23
Figure 11: Examples for thickness measurement Reports	29
Figure 12: Terminology of the Mock-up parts	37
Figure 13: Classification Societies' requirements for Thickness Measurements on this section (indicated	l as
bold dots)	38
Figure 14: Paths and measurements taken by the UAV	38
Figure 15: Paths and measurments taken by the magnetic crawler	39
Figure 16: Paths and measurements taken by the ROV	40

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Representative approaches for infrastructure inspection using aerial platforms	7
Table 2: User Requirements Matrix	. 30
Table 3: High-Level Technical Requirements KPIs	. 32
Table 4: Pilot Impact KPIs	. 33
Table 5: Aerial Platforms (MAV) KPIs	. 33
Table 6: Underwater Platforms (AUV) KPIs	. 34
Table 7: Crawler KPIs	. 34
Table 8: Inspection technologies KPIs	. 34
Table 9: VR technologies KPIs	. 35
Table 10: Decision support technologies KPIs	. 35
Table 11: Accomplished Missions	. 36
Table 13: Missions on the Mock-up	. 40
Table 14: Future Missions Onboard Ships In Service	.41

ABBREVIATIONS

DoA	Description of Action
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
ATEX	ATmospheres EXplosible
WiFi	Wireless Fidelity
SDK	software development kit
RGB-D	Red, green, blue, and depth
GPS	Global Positioning System
ABS	American Bureau of Shipping
UR	Unified Requirements
SOLAS	Safety of Life at Sea
MAV	Micro Aerial Vehicle
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
SLAM	Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping



HISTORY OF CHANGES

Date	Written by	Description of change	Approver	Version No.
25/10/2021	Prof. Panagiotis-Antonios Varelas (DANAOS)	Draft	Cedric Pradalier	1.2
13/12/2021	Laura Monnier (CNRS)	//	Cedric Pradalier	1.3
18/12/2021	Fotis Oikonomou (DANAOS)	//	Cedric Pradalier	2
11/01/2022	Laura Monnier (CNRS	//	Cedric Pradalier	3

REFERENCED DOCUMENTS

- 1. BUGWRIGHT2 Description of Action (DoA)
- 2. D1.1 Use-Case Analysis and Requirements. First Version (i)



1. Abstract

Deliverable 1.1, as defined in the DoA, is an open-to-public report discussing use case analysis and requirements for the successful development and implementation of BUGWRIGHT2's adaptable autonomous robotic solution for servicing ship outer hull.

It is noted that several releases of Deliverable D1.1 are scheduled to be submitted. In the first version, an initial definition of user requirements, system functional set-up and identification of key performance indicators has been given, on the basis of related information provided by the consortium members. Use cases layout were also sketched out to deliver input to WP9 for pilot integration and testing.

This second version is a more consolidated one, delivered at M25, taking into consideration the progress and results of the technical development, as well as feedback retrieved from a workshop that was organised to establish a more detailed use case definition and system requirements identification. External stakeholders and potential users of the BUGWRIGHT2 system also participated in that workshop.

2.Introduction

Vessels are extremely complicated and comparatively high-cost assets operating in diverse and harsh conditions resulting to various types of hull deficiencies. Typically observed hull deficiencies can be caused by one (or a combination) of the following reasons:

- metal corrosion,
- metal erosion,
- wear and tear,
- design faults,
- material defects and/or poor workmanship,
- loading and unloading (cargo) operations,
- contact (e.g., with quay side, ice, touching underwater objects, etc.), and
- accidents (e.g., collisions, groundings, fire, explosions).

The metal corrosion, which may be considered as the dominant reason, appears as a non-protective, friable rust. The rust scale continually breaks off, exposing fresh metal to corrosive attack. Thickness loss cannot usually be judged visually until excessive loss has occurred. Failure to remove mill scale during construction of the ship can accelerate the corrosion that takes place, while in service. Severe general corrosion in all types of ships, usually characterized by heavy scale accumulation, can lead to the need for extensive steel renewals.

The hull deficiencies caused by the aforementioned reasons are manifested in the following forms:

- material wastage,
- fractures,
- deformations, and
- catastrophic failures.

Currently, hull inspection for all sea going vessels is moderated via surveys carried out by Classification Societies on scheduled intervals. Inspections could be also ordered by ship owners or requested by the



charterer of the ship. Nowadays, visual inspection in full with accredited personnel is carried out on dry dock or performed by divers while ship is afloat. Class surveys generally consists in:

- An overall survey (visual inspection) of the hull in accordance with rules requirements; it is intended to capture the overall condition of the hull structure and determine the extent of additional close-up survey. The latter focuses on details of structural components, which are within the close visual inspection range of the surveyor, i.e. normally within reach of hand.
- Hull steel plate condition assessment by mean of thickness measurements. Thickness measurements may be required on predetermined structural elements, as described by Class regulations, with special focus on suspected areas of defects and areas of substantial corrosion.

The employed class surveyor uses previous knowledge in collaboration with an 'immersive' / real experience of the hull condition and surrounding environment to form an accumulative impression of the vessel condition. Additionally, the surveyor can use tools to test more thoroughly critical points of interest, e.g. by hammering, or manually removing rust scales or superficial coating.

The objective of BUGWRIGHT2 is to bridge the gap between the current and desired capabilities of ship inspection and service robots by developing and demonstrating an adaptable autonomous robotic solution for servicing ship outer hulls. The considered ship outer hull services include: visual inspection, steel structure thickness measurements and hull cleaning.

BUGWRIGHT2 aims to capitalise on the state of the art in robotic remote inspection, tailor and improve functionalities and deliver a holistic ecosystem from heterogeneous devices that will be able to detect and evaluate defects, cover all areas of interest across the outer hull, feed users with full-fledged data on hull condition and navigate with the least of human intervention.

In a nutshell, the consortium's principal concept is to deliver an advanced autonomous robotic technology that will execute a qualitative inspection and cleaning service in the least possible operational time. Furthermore, the project will investigate the applicability and adaptability of the BUGWRIGHT2 technologies to different structures assembled out of metal plates and in particular to storage tanks as secondary application domain.

Taking into consideration the aforementioned hull inspection and cleaning services framework, this deliverable defines the end-users needs that will be translated in functional requirements for the BUGWRIGHT2 system.

The current state of the art in remote robotic inspection and hull cleaning is revised against the first version of the deliverable and further elaborated in Section 3. The technology gaps to be filled in order to enhance and adapt existing robotic systems capabilities to match end-user requirements, are discussed in Section 4, this time including any feedback received from a workshop with external stakeholders. In BUGWRIGHT2, a complete value-chain validation – robot providers, inspection service providers, certification agencies, shipyards, harbours and ship-owners– will be involved in the specification and evaluation of the system. Under this scope, the requirements definition will consider a multi-stakeholder approach taking into consideration the point of view of the owners, the service providers and the classification societies. These requirements are given in a consolidated table in Section 5 for common reference. The same overall user perspective will be followedfor the classification and measurement of key performance indicators assigned to the evaluation of the system as discussed in Section 6.



Concluding, an introduction to the pathway for the demonstration of BUGWRIGHT2 technologies presenting the mission scenarios assigned to the system components is delivered in section 7.

3.State of the Art in Hull Inspection and Cleaning-Technology

Robotics and Autonomous Systems (RAS) is an emerging technology that received a considerable attention in the last years from a wide range of business sectors including the maritime industry. The IEEERobotics and Society (RAS) Marine Robotics Technical Committee (MRTC) was first established in 2008 following the dismissal of the Underwater Robotics Technical Committee in spring 2008. The goal of the MRTC is to foster research on robots and intelligent systems that extend the human capabilities in marineenvironments and to promote maritime robotic applications important to science, industry, and defence.

Inspection and mapping using robotic platforms has begun to be adopted in the industry over the past few years. Application scenarios such as agricultural fields and quarries have been among the first areas where e.g. airborne platforms have been deployed to implement for both tasks (see Figure 1). Such environments are generally well textured having limited complexity in their structure and therefore, are suitable for 2D and 3D reconstruction methods through vision and other sensor modalities.



Siemens SIEAERO power line inspection

SenseFly quarry mapping

Airbus airplane inspection

Figure 1:: Examples for airborne inspection and mapping applications already used in industry

With respect to the inspection and condition monitoring of industrial facilities and assets, the research community is mainly focused up to now in the development and utilisation of helicopter-type Micro- Aerial Vehicles (MAVs) for data collection at large-scale, remote, hard-to-reach and/or safety- compromised areas. Nevertheless, applications of remotely operated vehicles (ROV) underwater or on the surface of the inspected structures have also been deployed.

In the following sections, a brief overview of the state of the art according to the different platforms and applications has been aggregated.

3.1. Aerial Visual Inspections

Application examples of Micro-Aerial Vehicles, sorted by year of publication, are summarized in the Table 1. As can be observed, there are very few solutions connected with vessel inspection, and, those that have been designed to operate within this scenario, mostly focus on the inner hull structure.



Table 1: Representative approaches for infrastructure inspection using aerial platforms.

Reference	Use Case	Туре	Sensors/technology	Output
Campoy et al. (2001)	Power line	Helicopter	Stereo	Image
Jones (2005)	Power line	Ducted-fan	Camera	Image
Serrano (2011)	Culvert	Quadcopter	EKF: LIDAR + GPS + IMU	Image
Eschmann et al. (2012)	Building facade	Octocopter	_	Image + mosaic + cracks
Michael et al. (2012)	Building	Quadcopter	SLAM: LIDAR + RGB-D + IMU	3D map
Bonnin-Pascual et al. (2012)	Vessel str.	Quadcopter	SLAM: LIDAR + IMU	Image + cracks + corrosion
Burri et al. (2012)	Boiler system	Quadcopter	EKF: stereo + IMU	Image
Lippiello and Siciliano (2012)	Wall	Simulation	Optical flow: stereo + IMU	Image
Marconi et al. (2012)	Contact	Ducted-fan/ Coaxial rotor	IMU, contact	Physical interaction
Wu et al. (2012)	Power tower	Simulation	_	Image
Nikolic et al. (2013)	Boiler system	Quadcopter	EKF: stereo + IMU	Image
Sampedro et al. (2014)	Power tower	-	_	Image + tower
Martinez et al. (2014)	Power tower	-	-	Image + tower
Quater et al. (2014)	Photovoltaic plant	Hybrid/ Hexacopter	_	Image + thermal image
Hallermann and Morgenthal (2014)	Bridge	Octocopter	-	Image
Satler et al. (2014)	General	Quadcopter	SLAM: LIDAR + IMU, 2 US	Image
Omari et al. (2014)	General	Hexacopter	EKF: stereo + IMU	3D reconstruction
Gohl et al. (2014)	Mine	Hexacopter	EKF: stereo + IMU, 2 cameras, LiDAR	3D reconstruction
Høglund (2014)	Wind turbine	Hexacopter/	Optical flow: camera + IMU +	Image
	/Building	Sindation	2 05	
Santamaria and Andrade (2014)	General	Quadcopter/ Simulation	-	Image
Ortiz et al. (2014)	Vessel str.	Quadcopter	SLAM: LiDAR + IMU/visual odometry	Image
Choi and Kim (2015)	Building	Hexacopter	_	Image + cracks
Sa et al. (2015)	Pole-like str.	Hexacopter	IBVS/PBVS: camera + IMU	Image
Máthé and Buşoniu (2015)	Railway	Quadcopter	Camera	Image + track
Jimenez-Cano et al. (2015)	Bridges, etc.	Octoquad	-	Physical interaction
Cacace et al. (2015)	Contact	Ducted-fan/ Quadcopter	Camera/stereo + IMU	Physical interaction/image
Ozaslan et al. (2015)	Tunnel-like env.	Quadcopter	Particle filter: LiDAR + IMU	Image
Bonnin-Pascual et al. (2015) Ortiz et al. (2015)	Vessel str.	Quadcopter/ Hexacopter	Optical flow	Image + corrosion
Roberts (2016)	Metallic str.	Quadcopter	_	Image + corrosion

BUGWRIGHT2 Grant Agreement No. 871260



Ellenberg et al. (2016)	Bridge	Quadcopter	_	Image
Campo et al. (2016)	Open env.	Quadcopter	EKF: GPS + IMU	Image
McAree et al. (2016)	Wall	Octocopter	Lidar	Image
Alexis et al. (2016)	Contact	Quadcopter	Motion tracking	Physical interaction
Bonnin-Pascual and Ortiz (2016) Bonnin-Pascual et al. (2019)	Vessel str.	Quadcopter/ Hexacopter	KF: optical flow + LiDAR + IMU	Image + defects
Fang et al. (2017)	Shipboard environment	Quadcopter	Particle filter: RGB-D visual odometry + IMU	Thermal image + fire

The fast adoption of MAVs for, in particular, inspections can be attributed to the reduction in size of computation boards and sensors which enabled system makers to offer small-size platforms. Additionally, multi-rotor MAVs are preferred because of their mechanical simplicity, their stationary ability at low-speed flights, and the fact that each rotor individually stores less kinetic energy (Castillo et al. 2005). Among the different type of configurations, quadcopters and hexacopters are the most used.

While the methods and platforms from Table 1 can already be found in certain industry sectors for welltextured environments, the handling of mostly homogeneous hulls and low-texture surfaces is still a challenging task whose complexity is amplified in GNSS-poor areas. Among other solutions, the industry has mitigated this technology gap by only providing 2D images patched to an existing 3D model for further human interpretation (see Figure 1, right).

3.2. Above-water plate thickness measurements for ship hull and storage tanks

Plate thickness measurement, as discussed in this report, is an important part of the inspection process for ships and storage tanks. This is typically performed using ultrasonic transducers that sends a high-frequency pulse (e.g. 5MHz) inside the structure and wait for the echo from the plate other side.

Outside the ship hull, these wall thickness measures are performed by one or two controllers from a cherry picker. For the storage tanks, they can be collected by two controllers with rope access.



Figure 2: Ship outer hull and storage tank thickness measurements (Credits: P.L Tzaneas & Partners, ROBOPLANET)

The localisation of the measures on the inspected structure is approximated from external reference points such as welds or hull fittings, which can be difficult to match with the internal area in the ship.



Measures are mainly punctual with a footprint of 1 cm², which results in a small probability of detection of small thickness losses and a limited coverage of the structure.

Since 2005, storage tanks have been controlled with magnetic crawlers introduced by a number of companies around the world, among which ROBOPLANET in the consortium. Those are remotely driven by a controller from the ground. On storage tanks, the normal process is to drive the crawlers so as to acquire ultrasonic samples automatically on 1cm vertical tracks regularly spaced on the tank circumference.

Because these systems are manually operated, the acquisition requires time, which results in a reduced coverage of the surface by the equipment in order to be commercially viable. Additionally, the continuous acquisitions on 1cm-wide tracks may miss localised corrosion.



Figure 3: Crawler for hull thickness measurements (Credits: ROBOPLANET)

Within BUGWRIGHT2, it is expected that most of these issues will be addressed. Introducing autonomous driving capabilities will not only reduce the demand of pilot time but will also allow deploying multiple rover simultaneously, with the potential to achieve a higher surface coverage within the same amount of time.

In the following, a set of products available on the market have been reviewed and compared to the objectives of the project:

Strength	Weakness
High mobility on the surface	Heavy tether
Modular system	• 1 operator for driving, 1 for analysing
• Light-weight, ease of deployment.	measurements.
Embedded data acquisition well tested	Challenged by curvature discontinuities
on storage tanks	
Scorpion V2 (Eddify):	
Strength	Weakness
Dry coupling, no tether	Bad signal on dirty surfaces
Ability to perform vertical transects	Robustness due to moving parts
 Embedded data acquisition with 	 Weak magnets, risk of fall in rainy
proprietary software	conditions
	• 1 operator for driving, 1 for analysing
	measurements



Navic (Jireh):	
Strength	Weakness
• Very reliable, water and dust proof.	Heavy robot (15kg) + umbilical
 Modular payload (camera, ultrasonic, 	External ultrasonic acquisition system
phased array).	 Weak magnets, risk of fall in rainy
Embedded camera.	conditions
	• 1 operator for driving, 1 for analysing
	measurements.

Spider (Testex):

Strength	Weakness
Very light	Weak magnetism, mandatory safety rope
Easy maintenance	Reliability issues
	External ultrasonic acquisition system
	• 1 operator for driving, 1 for analysing

Accuscan (AUT Solutions):

Strength	Weakness		
Very light	Weak magnetism, mandatory safety rope		
Native C-Scan sensor	• 1 operator for driving, 1 for analysing		
Light and easily transportable electronic			

Waygate Technologies:

Strength	Weakness			
Native B&C-Scan sensor	 Weak magnetism, unsuitable for wet 			
Embedded data acquisition electronic	surfaces, mandatory safety rope			
Embedded camera	• 1 operator for driving, 1 for analysing			
Automatic tracking of weld lines				

Comparison to the objective of the project:

In comparison with the products above, the BUGWRIGHT2 crawlers are expected to focus on high-quality water coupling so as to ensure good quality signals. In some applications, tetherless operations with a water reservoir will also be considered. The design of the crawler will also be revised to ensure strong adherence on the surface, even in wet or poor surface conditions. Introducing computer control in these applications will also allow more complex trajectories in autonomous mode, and reduce the need for two operators in deployments. Self-localisation and immersive visualisation will also allow the operators and inspectors to better report the inspection results with respect to the 3D model of the structure to be inspected.

3.3. Underwater visual inspections

Underwater Remotely Operated Vehicles (ROV) have been used more and more for ship inspection in recent years. These platforms have a great potential for saving costs by replacing or helping divers or avoiding getting the boat in a dry dock. The current usage is focused on visual inspections and can have various objectives among the following:

- Inspection of the hull for detecting fouling and corrosion
- Propeller and thrusters

BUGWRIGHT2 Grant Agreement No. 871260



- Sea chest
- Anodes
- Rudder
- Damage survey
- In water class survey
- Supervising diver work
- Preparation for dry dock operations

Besides the usefulness of such vehicles, there are some limitations that must be taken into consideration.Inspection of big vessels have different challenges that BUGWRIGHT2 is trying to tackle and minimize. Such limitations include:

- Navigation and positioning. Large ships are hard to navigate for the remote operator due to usually lack of positioning tools and poor visibility.
- Lack of scale. It is hard to interpret the size of objects without a reference using a video stream.
- Low visibility, current and waves. These are the main disturbances for such vehicles that make their task more challenging. Current and waves affect their performance and manoeuvrability and low visibility affects navigation and its assessment during the inspection
- Ability to touch/interpret thickness of hull steel plate or fouling.
- Tangling tether. The tether has always a high risk of getting tangled around the boat structures, especially propellers.
- EX/ATEX limitations. Explosive atmospheres require of stricter certifications.

All those limitations (except the EX/ATEX one) will be addressed during the project by converting the available technology of ROV-inspection into a robust autonomous inspection system.

In the context of the project, we start from the BLUEYE Pioneer, an underwater drone that is currently used on different business ranging from Aquaculture to Shipping. It is provided with four thrusters to manoeuvre underwater with ease. Two Horizontal thruster to go forwards/backwards, a vertical thruster for depth control and a lateral thruster to sweep along walls, nets, ship hulls etc.

The drone has active heading and depth controls to increase its stability during operation. Pitch and roll are passively controlled with its mechanical design, damping those motions and enhancing video quality during inspections. The communication and video streaming is done via an umbilical (twisted pair)connected to a BLUEYE Surface Unit. This device acts as a router and allows smartphones to connect to it through Wi-Fi.

The video streaming can be recorded and stored in the drone during the operation. Once the dive is completed the user can download the videos (.mp4) into a smartphone and/or computer. Pictures can also be taken during operation in JPG format and stored both on the drone and smartphone device. In addition, overlay with navigation data can be embedded in the videos and pictures to help operators to visualize data.





Figure 4: Picture X Ship inspection overlay example

This data can also be used to create 3D models out of the video streaming for better visualisation. BLUEYE has an account at Sketchfab where many 3D models are shared as examples.



Figure 5: Picture X – Example of a shipwreck mapped with photogrammetry

In the context of the BUGWRIGHT2 project, the BLUEYE Pioneer is being configured to work as an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) so we will have the possibility to not use any tether if this is beneficial for the inspection task. For that purpose, BLUEYE has designed a payload system to attach external equipment to the Pioneer. The main payloads to be attached concerns navigation (USBL) and mapping (Side Scan Sonar or a Multibeam sonar). Additional equipment for the scope of the project can include Thickness Gauge probes to assess the state of hulls in specific areas and external lights.

On the software side, BLUEYE will keep on assisting NTNU and UPORTO with preparing the current embedded software and making it suitable for the other contributors to work on. BLUEYE has been working on a bare-bone version of the drone Operating System (OS) without the control system and custom software but with all dependencies. In addition, BLUEYE provides the other partners with an SDK where the code can be cross compiled. This way UPORTO and NTNU can install their own software and transform the Pioneer into an AUV.

When operating as an AUV there are some limitations when it comes to data transfer. The USBL system for positioning provides bidirectional communication with a limited bandwidth of 10kbps. Only important data might be shared during operation of the autonomous inspection (critical commands to synchronize with the other robots). After the inspection is completed, all relevant data will be downloaded (video recordings, still images, logs atc.) for additional post processing



In the following, a set of products available on the market have been reviewed and compared to the objectives of the project. All the considered products are targeted at marina inspection, aquaculture, search and rescue:

Pioneer (BLUEYE):

Strength		Weakness	
٠	Affordability	Limited sensor suite	
•	Light-weight, easy transportation, easy	No self-localisation	
	deployment	 No post-processing of visual data 	
٠	High mobility (4DoF), high stability, 3kn	Tether and entanglement risk	
	current		
•	Ease of use with simple user-interface		
•	SDK for easy development of new		
	applications.		

Pro 4/Pro 5, Defender (VideoRay):

Strength	Weakness	
Low-weight Pro4	Low mobility of low-weight version	
High mobility of Pro5/Defender	 User interface through 	
Safer than divers	computer with proprietary	
	interface	

DGT3, PIVOT, REVOLUTION (DeepTrekker):

Strength	Weakness	
High mobility (4DoF)	Low mobility of low-weight version	
Camera control in pan-tilt	User interface through computer with	
Safer than divers	proprietary interface	

SeaDrone Pro (SeaDrone):

Strength	Weakness	
High mobility, 5 DoF	• Max 2.5kn	
User interface dedicated to inspection		
Light-weight		

Seasam drone (Seasam):

Strength	Weakness	
AUV operations	Max 2.4kn	
Al-based fouling reporting		
Light weight		
Cloud platform for user operations		

BlueROV (BlueRobotics):

Strength	Weakness			
Light-weight and Modular with varying	User interface through computer with			
payload	proprietary interface			
Affordability				
High mobility (6DoF)				



Comparison to the objective of the project:

In comparison with the products above, the BUGWRIGHT2 ROV will combine some of the best features of the product above: high mobility, affordability, modular payloads (robotic arm, ultrasonic probe). The focus on localisation and autonomous operations will also dramatically improve the inspection performance and the ability to accurately report the inspection results. This will also be supported by advanced user interface. Multi-robot deployment, made possible by autonomous operations and localisation, will also improve the cost effectiveness in inspection applications. Finally advanced AI-based image processing will be integrated for tasks like ship-part identification and fouling detection.

3.4. Underwater thickness measurements

In comparison to the plate thickness measurements performed in dry-dock or on storage tanks, underwater thickness measurements (UWTM) are mostly performed during the class inspection. On aged ships, UWTM can be performed by divers on areas inaccessible from the inside, in order to prepare a dry- dock and anticipate the amount of work.



Figure 6: Underwater thickness measurement (Credit: MasterTech Diving Services)

Those underwater inspections generate human risk and require some surface cleaning before being performed. They are also subjected to weather conditions, legal rules. Among the challenges that BUGWRIGHT2 intend to address, the localisation of the measurements is the most important one.

In the following, a set of products available on the market have been reviewed and compared to the objectives of the project:

Magg/Magg UT (Eddify):

Strength	Weakness	
Easy transportation	Simplistic self-localisation	
Underwater ultrasonic or eddy current	• Upgrade of an aerial crawler instead of a	
measurements	specific design	
	Cannot prepare the surface	

ROVs with Ultrasonic transducer (e.g. DT640 from DeepTrekker):

Strength	Weakness	
Easy transportation, deployment by hand	Sensitive to water current	
No obstacle passing constraints	Cannot prepare the surface	
	Challenging localisation	



Crawler designed for offshore platforms inspections (e.g. from Sonomatics):

Strength				Weakn	ness	
٠	Extremely	complete	sensor	suite	•	Prohibitively expensive for the marine
	(ultrasonic, Eddy currents, ACFM, etc.)			tc.)		inspection industry
•	Deployment from a ROV				•	No absolute localisation

In addition to these products, the following EU projects considers crawlers for ship-hull inspection:

SHIPTEST:

Strength	Weakness	
• Exhaustive sensor suite (ultrasonic, eddy	Dry-dock only	
currents, etc.)	Very slow	
Focus on weld line inspection	Low maturity/TRL	

ROBINS:

Strength	Weakness
Ultrasonic thickness measurement	Dry-dock only
 Mobility and obstacle passing capability 	Very slow
	Low surface coverage

Comparison to the objective of the project:

In comparison with the products above, the BUGWRIGHT2 underwater crawlers are expected to keep the ease of deployment and affordability of the best solutions above, while focusing on higher level of autonomy, supported by precise localisation on the hull. Multi-robot deployment will also be supported by the control and autonomy layer developed for the BUGWRIGHT2 crawler. Additionally, the design of the crawler will also be revised to ensure strong adherence on the surface, even in presence of current, while ensuring no damage to the sensitive paints of ship hull. This will prepare the application for cleaning where the hull interaction imposes a strong adherence.

Following on the discussion on aerial crawlers, self-localisation and immersive visualisation will allow the operators and inspectors to better report the inspection results with respect to the 3D model of the structure to be inspected.

3.5. Underwater hull cleaning

Underwater hull cleaning is currently performed by divers. They use some motorized underwater carts. Those carts are equipped with brushes for cleaning for detaching the fouling (mostly micro and macro organisms) from the hull. The fouling is released in the harbour, typically with particles from the antifouling paint.

This solution generates a high risk for the commercial divers. In the world, more than 10 divers lose their live in this operation yearly. This also increase pollution and invasive species proliferation, because of the lack of waste collection & filtering. Finally, the brushes impact the coating, and reduce its efficiency as a biofouling.





Figure 7: Driver operated kart for cleaning

In the last years, some companies started to operate remote-operated cleaning devices. These devices adhere to the hull using magnetism or the Venturi effect. They are propelled by hydraulic thrusters or motorized wheels. Someof these systems integrate coating-care cleaning devices such as high pressure cleaning. At the time of this writing, only a few are connected to a water filtering & treatment unit at the surface.

These new systems reduce significantly the risks for the operators, protect the environment and preserve the antifouling thickness. They face several challenges that impede their expansion, in particular their size, manual operation, unique cleaning device for each operation, lack of resilience in case of maintenance.

In the following, a set of products available on the market have been reviewed and compared to the objectives of the project:

Strength Weakness • Coverage and accountability • Dangerous activity for the diver • Human adaptability • Hard to operate in presence of current • No collection of residuals

Human operated brushes (e.g. from Jared Schoonecher):

FleetCleaner robot (FleetCleaner):

Strength	Weakness
No diver	• Deployment with a crane from a vessel
Does not damage paint	docked next to the target vessel
Residuals collection	Manual operations
• 200m ship cleaned in 8h	No mechanical redundancy
• Authorized in many EU ports.	

In addition to the above projects, the following EU projects are considering the challenge of ship hull cleaning:



SLEEKSHIP:

Strength	Weakness
Light-weight ROV	Low resistance to current
Cleaning with cavitation	No residuals collection
Light-weight tether	
• Theoretical localisation < 5cm	

HULLWIPER:

Strength	Weakness
Similar to the FleetCleaner system	Same as FleetCleaner

Comparison to the objective of the project:

In comparison with the products above, the BUGWRIGHT2 underwater crawlers are expected to keep the ease of deployment, autonomy and affordability discussed in the inspection use-case. Having light-weight crawlers means that they can be deployed by hand from the ship itself, or even be part of the standard equipment of the vessel. Autonomous navigation will simplify the operational deployment while multi-robot system will provide redundancy and exhaustive coverage in competitive time.

Compared to human and ROV operations, the use of magnetically attached robot will limit the impact of currents on operations. Robotic operations will also reduce risks to the health and safety of human divers.

Very important for ecological considerations, the BUGWRIGHT2 crawlers are designed with the collection of residues as a top priority.

3.6. Navigation systems

A review of state of the art of navigation systems for robotic platforms is given in this section. Because the applicable technologies above and below water are quite different, they are considered separately here. However, the above water technologies apply similarly to the ROBOPLANET crawlers on the hull or the MAVs around the hull. In a similar way, the underwater technologies will be similar for the BLUEYE Pioneers or the ROBOPLANET crawlers whether they are inspecting or cleaning the surface.

Above water, most existing commercial products use GNSS (Global Satellite Navigation System) to acquire images for offline post processing (see Figure 1, middle). Nevertheless, infrastructure inspections are often carried out in environments where GNSS signals can be poor (e.g. due to multipath effects) and besides motion-tracking systems cannot be installed, so that the aerial platforms have to estimate their state for stabilization and localisation using on-board sensing and processing. Solutions published so far mainly differ in the sensor(s) used and the assumptions made about the environment (Bonnin-Pascual and Ortiz, 2019).

As a result, many of the approaches in Application examples of Micro-Aerial Vehicles, sorted by year of publication, are summarized in Table 1. As can be observed, there are very few solutions connected with vessel inspection, and, those that have been designed to operate within this scenario, mostly focus on the inner hull structure.

Table 1 make use of vision systems for state estimation using either different variants of Bayesian Filters or full SLAM (Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping) solutions for computing the platform state. Such vision systems mainly comprise monocular camera configurations, stereo rigs, RGB-D cameras and/or optical flow sensors.

BUGWRIGHT2 Grant Agreement No. 871260



The laser scanner is another sensor which has been highly used in aerial robotics for inspection. It is useful in dark or poorly-illuminated environments where vision systems may fail, even though it typically requires a higher payload capability from the MAV. Finally, the proposed approaches combine, everytime more and more, the motion data provided by the selected main sensor (RGB or RGB-D camera, stereo rig or laser scanner) with the 3-axis motion data supplied by Inertial Measurement Units (IMU, supplying linear accelerations, angular velocities and orientation) to improve or complement the platformstate estimation (see, among others, Weiss et al. 2013).

Regarding the autonomy of the MAV at the functional level, some inspection solutions focus on fully autonomous systems, what requires from the platform self-localisation, obstacle perception and trajectory planning capabilities, while others adopt shared or supervised autonomy approaches. This last control paradigm allows the operator to interactively command the platform. This fits the system with added flexibility for operating in general environments which facilitates integrating them into existing inspection procedures (Bonnin-Pascual et al, 2019).

In BUGWRIGHT2, the systems operating above water are expected to use a combination of visual-inertial sensing with ultra-wide band beacons as an alternative to GNSS.

In the underwater domain, GNSS systems are only available at surface. Once underwater, inertial and acoustic based navigations come to aid. An Inertial Navigation System (INS) uses accelerometers to measure motion and gyroscopes to measure rotation. This enables the calculation of a dead reckoning position, as orientation and speed can be inferred (relative to a previous position in time) without external aid. Dead reckoning will accumulate errors over time if used alone though. To reduce this effect and improve the overall navigation solution, additional sensors are usually used to measure other variables such as, e.g., speed over ground (with a DVL - Doppler Velocity Loggers), pressure, and external references query by acoustics. Logically, a more accurate INS system will provide a better dead reckoning solution, but the more accurate an INS system is, the more complex and thus expensive they are. Recent developments in microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) are making available small and light INSswhich are getting better and less expensive.

To integrate all the information collected from the different sensors and thus produce a better estimated position, sensor data fusion methods are commonly used (such as Kalman filters or other similar algorithms). The typical navigation solution nowadays for a robotic system is to have both dead- reckoning and absolute sensors with a sensor fusion implementation - whether it is a low-cost system with only standard GPS, compass and a "cheap" IMU or a more sophisticated setup with several high- accuracy dead-reckoning sensors and redundant absolute positioning systems.

In what concerns absolute positioning systems underwater, acoustics is the available mean. By using acoustics, external reference sources can be used to periodically reduce the errors that come from the numerical integration of the dead-reckoning sensors. There are several topologies for these acoustic positioning systems, but all make use of measuring the time-of-flight of the acoustic sound on the water, and estimating ranges. One such topology is the LBL (long baseline). LBL requires fix position transponders in a number bigger than one and separated by large distances (from 100m to kilometres).

The main advantage of the LBL is its accuracy independent of water depth, but the setup is complex for deployment and requires some knowledge. SBL (short baseline) can be assemble on a ship hull or a dock structure and is less complex than LBL to setup. The distance between transponders (10 to 50m) influence the accuracy.



The disadvantages are the need for a careful calibration, the use of additional sensors such as gyroscopes and vertical reference, and the need for more than three transponders. USBL (ultrashort baseline) is the less complex one because it only needs a pair of transponder/transceiver, one on the surface and other on the target object. The difference is that the USBL transceiver as an array of transducers only a few centimetres apart from each other, which allows it to calculate the range and bearing (using phasedifferencing) to the target transponder. This lowers the complexity of the solution significantly, but its accuracy is lower when compared to LBL/SBL solutions, especially as distance increases (accuracy is better within short ranges). Its main advantage is not needing deployment of transponders and which makes it a viable ship based system.

Fully automated ship hull inspection solutions are still being developed. The most common setup for a ROV for hull inspection is using an IMU, a depth sensor and a DVL (measuring distances to the ship hull) - this allows the navigation solution to know where the ROV is in relation to the ship. Using also an acoustic position system enables the knowledge of an absolute position. There are other approaches to hull-relative navigation using mosaic-based methods using cameras or feature-based SLAM with imaging sonars.

For the BUGWRIGHT2 project, the approach being currently considered is using the IMU and depth sensor already onboard BLUEYE ROVs, add an USBL system and an imaging sonar to allow a hull-relative navigation.

4. End-Users requirements

4.1. Stakeholder workshop for requirements elicitation

In between the two versions of D1.1, an online stakeholders meeting was organised and held in October 2021 with the support of CNRS to present the project, its main objective was to make an initial analysis of the achievements. A round-table was then led by IEIC on "The challenges of automation and artificial intelligence and more particularly autonomous robots in the maritime sector for ship inspection and maintenance". During this round-table, several aspects were examined: technical obstacles, commercial and psychological barriers, but also regulatory standard & social acceptability. BUGWRIGHT2 partners, WMU and UT, provided an overview of their work and opened discussions on their topics. Agenda of the meeting is displayed in Appendix I.

More than 20 people attended the workshop coming from the industry and the research community while sharing background and knowledge attached to both technology and shipping. This first Stakeholder meeting made it possible to gather feedback from people inside and outside the project, according to their areas of expertise. The idea was to highlight and discuss the obstacles to the acceptance of such a project and provide content for the revision of D1.1.

The end-users expectations as depicted in sub-sections 4.2 to 4.4 and wrapped up in a matrix table in section 5 were initially presented in the first version of D1.1. In this second version, the requirements are copied and reproduced after being filtered, validated and further elaborated by the stakeholders following the realization of the workshop in October 2021. Finally, stakeholders shared their ideas and advice for an efficient and appropriate definition of the mission scenario associating the validation of the requirements with the demonstration framework (refer to section 7).

4.2. Ship Owner expectations

Hull integrity is of outmost importance for vessels' seaworthiness. The hull is the most notable structuralPage 19Version 3Status: released

Grant Agreement No. 871260



entity of the ship having significant effect on ship operational performance in terms of safety, protection of the environment, energy efficiency and overall structural health of the asset. Hull inspection either in dry dock or at quay (afloat) is performed to evaluate the state of hull structural components against corrosion, cracks or deformations and excessive biofouling. According to the International Maritime Organization (IMO), if the entire world fleet were kept clean of fouling assuming well-coated, smooth hulls without any defects, the savings in fuel per annum would be 66 million tonnes - €31.2 billion (HYDREX, 2010). As every tonne of fuel produces 2.25 tonnes of CO2, that could be translated in 148,500,000 tonnes of CO2/year saved.

Currently, hull operational reliability and safety for all sea going vessels is assessed via scheduled surveys carried out by Classification Societies on a periodic basis, i.e., every 1, 21/2 and 5 years (Annual, Intermediate, Class renewal/special survey). Classification Societies' verification process is based on the application of their own rules which comply with international and/or national statutory regulations of Flag Administrations. Hull surveys may be also ordered ad-hoc by owners in their own discretion following company's defined measures for continuous improvement in Ship Energy Efficiency Management Plan (SEEMP). Additionally, it may be the charterer that requests inspection in predefined intervals (e.g. annually) following charter party terms, especially when fuel cost is of charterer responsibility given the direct association of fuel consumption with hull integrity. It may even be ordered for the purpose of vetting inspection.

From the ship owner / manager perspective, the expectations, for the holistic and adaptive robotic ecosystem that BUGWRIGHT2 intend to deliver for hull inspection and cleaning, should satisfy three basic criteria: 1. Improving the quality of the inspection 2. Reducing maintenance time 3. Exploiting captured data. Quality in inspection is defined by hull survey coverage, precision and detection accuracy whereas any potential hull integrity problems should be spotted early on to minimize operational downtime. The objective of such a robotic ecosystem is to enhance the capabilities of a generic man-based visual survey by detecting, assessing, and recording defects with the least human intervention consuming significantly less time in maintenance operation (in typical dry dock, a full hull survey covers one working day but, if we include thickness measurement in close-up surveys, then this time is escalated to 5-8 working days).

Special attention should be paid to underwater survey, when the ship is afloat, necessary for estimation of the general and detailed technical state of the ship's hull underwater parts. The detection of a fault on a ship afloat by the BUGWRIGHT2 robotic system, without necessity of docking, should be made equivalent to carrying out a qualitative examination of the hull shell plating. In particular, this would require to check the ship anode and cathodic protections and fasteners, the state of the plates in the shell plating, to detect the occurrence and localisation of dents, cracks or fractures, to inspect the sea valve gratings, to inspect the propulsion/steering unit by measuring the bearings sag of the rudder blade stock and stern gear. Besides thorough examination of hull parts, the underwater BugWrigh2 robotic system should be able to navigate on or around the hull to capture its condition and record defects as long as the vessel stays in port. Port stays are ranged from 8 hours to 48 hours or more and it is determined by the cargo volume, the stevedoring capacity or the cargo loading/discharging performance of the terminal. BUGWRIGHT2 should deliver a qualitative underwater survey in less time than the ship's port stay.

Inspection afloat makes it easier to identify the possible risks and to better define the list of the forthcoming repair works. Most important is for the BugWrigth2 ecosystem to ensure reliable data streams and full information coverage. The robotic ecosystem should not only record and capture data but effectively handle and classify information to define a well-structured ordering of the estimated work that should be performed on the vessel in its next dry-docking period. Page 20 Version 3 Status: released

BUGWRIGHT2

Grant Agreement No. 871260

Under this scope, an integration with vessel maintenance software (PMS) should be expected to support the decisions regarding the list oftasks that should be performed against detected defects.

BUG<mark>W</mark>RIGHT<mark>2</mark>

Above all, BUGWRIGHT2 should not only be able to detect and visualize a defect but to either assess their severity automatically or provide enough information to assist the surveyor or the owner in the decision for an on spot cleaning or repair while the ship is afloat. In this context, the BUGWRIGHT2 system will integrate expert input to define the impact of a detected hull defect and correlate risk factors against vessel operational profile as well as ship maintenance scheduling, supporting therefore the decision making on how and when should the defect be tackled (on spot repair or waiting for schedule preventive maintenance in a dry dock period). In cases where the hull needs to be cleaned from excessive biofouling, when the ship is either at quay or at the anchorage, the BUGWRIGHT2 underwater system should take into account the restrictions imposed by the port authorities and comply with the harbour water quality regulations by ensuring, in particular, that an effective filtering is in place for the removed fouling.

With regard to data exploitation, BUGWRIGHT2 could assist the ship owners in their continuous monitoring of hull performances. In this respect, it would be an option to equip the BUGWRIGHT2 robotic system with the ability to measure the hull roughness. Systematic underwater measurement of coating thickness would facilitate hull cleaning scheduling in advance. At the same time, this would allow identifying recurring patterns between ship operation profiles (vessel active days, voyage weather conditions, routing plan, and maintenance and repair history) and hull performances, assessing the impact of antifouling on vessel energy efficiency. Therefore, BUGWRIGHT2 would support the operators in maximizing their hull performances and thereby reduce fuel costs and control emissions.

In summary, the point of view of the ship owners / managers is that the BUGWRIGHT2 ecosystem of heterogeneous autonomous technologies will lead to an increased use of advanced robotic application in hull inspection. This is expected to support them to secure the hull structural integrity and improve the hull performance, leading to a reduction of unscheduled ship repairs and structural failures as well as a reduction in operational downtime and off-hire days. As a result, the ship operators will be able to increase the fleet utilization rates and achieve savings both in maintenance and operational costs (fuel savings).

4.3. Service provider requirements

Current hull inspection practices are mainly based on the employment of class surveyors in collaboration with experienced service engineers to assess hull condition.

The utilization of robotic technologies for hull inspection purposes must ensure that at least an equivalent amount and quality of information is provided so that their application will not result to any loss of reliability in the condition assessment.

In this respect, robotic platforms utilized for hull inspections, e.g. drones, crawlers and ROV, must be able to:

• Operate at least in the same environmental conditions as those assumed for the inspection of a human surveyor in person. Platform design and applied construction materials should be compatible with, and not hazardous for, the environment in which inspections will be carried out. In addition, critical parts of the platform and ancillary components have to be designed in such way that associated risk with most probable failure is minimized.



- Follow a planned path in the presence of unknown obstacles. The platforms should be also able to keep a given position for a given length of time (assuming a predefined error margin and magnitude of disturbance). Typical sources of disturbance for aerial platforms can be varying air flows, turbulence induced by vicinity to surfaces, environmental conditions generating uncertainty in sensor measurements (e.g. sunlight or lighting conditions in general). For magnetic crawlers, they are intrinsically stable and attached to the inspected surface but their closeness tothe surface makes them potentially very sensitive to the tether entanglement (e.g. anodes, structure cleanliness, hard scale, corroded surface, etc.). In the case of underwater platforms, waves and currents will be the main source of disturbance but the tether may also create constraints and disturbances (e.g. hull cleanliness, sea-growth, etc.). In addition to the above, a requirement of critical importance for robotic platforms aimed to be used for thickness measurements is their ability to reach points of interest at a distance suitable for taking thicknessmeasurements.
- Provide visual information with level of detail, colour, contrast, brightness etc. sufficient for detecting and ranking defects in a way that is comparable to the information available to the surveyor when operating in person. The visual information, still images and/or video, provided in early stages of hull inspection mainly by aerial platforms but also by ROV shall be able to enable a first level identification of hull defects (e.g. hull corrosion, sea-growth) and their extend. The photographic sequence of Figure 8 shows an example of intense hull corrosion. Corrosion may appear in different forms including localized corrosion in the form of pitting, cavities (shallow, wide, or elliptical) and "holes", barnacle depositions, residue of the antifouling paint (for the inhibition of living organism growth on the surface), loose flakes of plates, and crevices.



Figure 8: Photographic sequence of hull corrosion

Pitting corrosion is defined as scattered corrosion spots/areas with local material reductions and is generally considered to be more dangerous than uniform corrosion damage because it is more difficult to detect, predict, and design against. Generally, the quantization of pitting corrosion is based on a visual comparison of the area under examination with charts like the ones shown below. In this respect, the employed robotic platforms and utilized image processing software shall be able to identify and detect with high reliability pitting and other types of corrosion at an early stage of development.



The visual information provided by ROVs shall be able to enable the detection of developed seagrowth in the ship hull. The accumulation of sea-growth in the hull, the marine fouling, has a huge impact in vessel performance and thus on the economics of the ship because it increases vessel drag and therefore fuel consumption. The use of ROV-based visual inspection at regular time intervals will provide valuable information about marine fouling evolution and assist in an effective planning of required maintenance actions (hull cleaning).



Figure 10: Examples of sea-growth organisms

In addition to the above, the importance of post-processing collected visual data has to be emphasized. The post-processing of collected 2D images by 3D reconstruction algorithms will enable the establishment of 3D hull models which can be later used in a number of cases as for example for remote inspections when the physical presence of surveyor is not possible. Provide measurement data, e.g. steel plate thickness, at least with the same accuracy and for the same locations as in traditional surveys, as described in the relevant rules and guidelines. Thickness data should be sufficient to allow reliable estimation of the actual thickness following provisions stated by rule requirements as well as to enable the detection and localisation of corrosion patches.

BUGWRIGHT2

In addition to the 'traditional' thickness measurements, the need of collecting hull coating thickness measurements when the vessel is at quay or at anchorage, is strongly highlighted. Hull coating is a technique applicable for all vessel types and ages aiming to reduce surface roughness and therefore, induced drag and fuel consumption. Practically, as the hull coating thickness is reduced the surface roughness is increased. Ships are regularly delivered with a very low surface roughness at around 75µm. The hull roughness increases during vessel life cycle; each additional 10µm to 20µm of 'roughness', according to ABS estimates, can increase the total hull resistance by 1% for tankers and carriers. A vessel could enter a dry dock with a roughness of 250µm resulting to an increased resistance of up to 17% and fuel consumption of 3 to 4% compared to when it first went into operation. The benefits of easy inspection of hull coating thickness or hull surface roughness during vessel operation are evident since it will give vessel operators critical information to plan future maintenance actions.

Additionally, **the time required for a complete scan** of the space to be inspected should be compatible with the time constraints of a typical class survey. **The power source** should be such to allow effective performance of assigned tasks.

In respect to the requirements on BUGWRIGHT2 hull cleaning functionalities, it shall be mentioned that, up to now, there is no relevant regulatory framework and therefore, provided services are mainly commercially driven, aiming to minimize performance loss and consequently, fuel consumption increase. It must be noted that, in assistance to this task, the International Organization for Standardization has released the ISO 19030 'Measurement of changes in hull and propeller performance' as a global standard for measuring hull and propeller performance. ISO 19030 was finalized in 2016 following three years of development by a wide range of industry stakeholders, including coating and propeller manufacturers, academics, ship-owners and data analysts. It became effective in March 2017. The ISO 19030 outlines general principles for measuring changes in hull and propeller performance. It also defines a set of performance indicators for hull and propeller maintenance, repair and retrofit activities

The hull cleaning procedure typically followed consists of the following steps:

- The propeller and hull condition as well as the type and extent of accumulated sea growth is inspected by experienced divers when the vessel is at quay or at anchorage.
- The decision on the maintenance actions to be taken, propeller polishing and/or hull cleaning, is typically based on the extent of the sea-growth and previous experience.

• Underwater cleaning is performed either by a diver with brushers or by a remotely operated vehicle (ROV). The applied cleaning method depends on the antifouling coating & marine fouling type and degree.

The BUGWRIGHT2 platforms (ROV, crawlers), taking under consideration the aforementioned procedure, shall meet the requirements listed below. In particular, they should:

- follow a planned path in the presence of unknown obstacles and various weather conditions
- provide visual information with a level of details sufficient for detecting the type, location and extent of marine growth
- utilise different types of cleaning methods depending on hull coating type and condition as well as on the marine fouling type and degree
- provide, if possible, measurements of hull coating thickness or hull surface roughness (optional).

Finally, with regards to the decision support for maintenance functionalities of the BUGWRIGHT2 platform, it shall be mentioned that provisions have to be made so that the information listed below, in addition to the visual data and measurements acquired by the utilized robotic platforms, is included in the system database and used in the condition assessment procedure:

- vessel particulars
- historical data of sister vessels (if available)
- statistics of similar type of vessels regarding probability and evolution of defects, for instance the type and rate of corrosion, the type and rate of coating breakdown, steel structure thickness measurements, etc. Information of this type is generally available in Class Societies.

The decision-making procedure shall evaluate and utilize appropriately defined metrics, the Key Maintenance Indicators (KMI), parameters defined and introduced by Glafcos Marine in in-house procedures and tools, which are representative of the type and magnitude of different types of defects. As an example, a parameter represents the percentage of hull coverage from sea-growth, the steel structure thickness decrease and so on. A Key Maintenance Indicator giving an overall picture of hull condition can be derived by the following formula

$$K = \sqrt{\sum s_i} * KMI_i^2 \quad i = 1 \dots N$$

where

- KMI_v : Vessel overall Key Maintenance Indicator
- S_i : Severity factor of each KMI
- KMI_i : Key Maintenance Indicator of specific defects.
- N : Number of considered defects

As a final comment, it is worth mentioning that in all cases the presence of an experienced surveyor and / or service provider engineer will be required to ensure the successful completeness of the inspection surveys and the reliability of the condition assessment.

4.4. Hull Survey Standardisation, legal framework, and reporting requirements

The shipping industry and international regulatory bodies have started considering the possibility of droneassisted, class-related and statutory surveys of ship hull a few years ago.

The International Association of Class Societies (IACS), a not for profit membership organization of classification societies that establishes minimum technical standards and requirements addressing maritime safety and environmental protection and ensures their consistent application, has outlined the basic principles of the so-called Remote Inspection Techniques (RIT) in its Recommendation 42 Rev.2 "Guidelines for Use of Remote Inspection Techniques for Surveys" published in June 2016, as follows:

- RIT may be used to facilitate external and internal examination, including close-up surveys and gauging
- RIT are to provide the survey results normally obtained by the Surveyor
- The results obtained by RIT are to be acceptable to the attending Surveyor
- Inspections should be carried out in the presence of the Surveyor

IACS 2016 Rec.42 Clause 1.2

According to Rec.42, the use of RIT in ship hull inspection is however subject to some restrictions. The use of RIT may be restricted or limited where there is a record or indication of abnormal deterioration or damage, and it may be inapplicable if there are recommendations for repairs or if conditions affecting the class of the vessel are found during the inspection (IACS 2016 Rec.42 Clause 2.1).

Inspection using RIT should be carried out by a qualified technician with adequate knowledge of the items to be inspected (IACS 2016 Rec.42 Clause 3.1). Prior to commencement of surveys, a pre-meeting should be held between the Technician, an Owner's representative and the attending Surveyor, toascertain that all the arrangements are in place to ensure a safe and efficient conduct of the inspection (IACS 2016 Rec.42 Clause 3.1).

The extent and procedures for class-related hull surveys are described in IACS Unified Requirement (UR) Z7 "Hull Classification Surveys" and ancillary Z7.X and Z10.X families. In UR Z7 RIT have been taken into account since rev.26 (January 2018). In UR Z7 a definition of RIT is given, clearly referring to the above- mentioned Rec. 42:

"Remote Inspection Technique is a means of survey that enables examination of any part of the structure without the need for direct physical access of the surveyor (refer to Rec.42)".

(IACS 2018 UR Z7 par. 1.2.15)

This definition of RIT covers both "overall" and "close-up" surveys, where "an Overall Survey is a survey intended to report on the overall conditions of the hull structure and determine the extent of additional

Close-Up Surveys" (IACS 2018 UR Z10.1 par.1.2.3) and "a Close-Up Survey is a survey where the details of structural components are within the close visual inspection range of the surveyor i.e. normally within reach of hand" (IACS 2018 UR Z10.1 par.1.2.4).

It should be noted, however, that thickness measurements of the ship hull's structural members are required in areas where close-up surveys are required:

"In any kind of survey, [...] thickness measurements of structures in areas where close-up surveys are required, shall be carried out simultaneously with close-up surveys".

(IACS 2018 UR Z7 par. 1.4.1)

UR Z7 Rev.26 explains how this can be done using RIT:

"[...] When RIT is used for a close-up survey, temporary means of access for the corresponding thickness measurements is to be provided unless such RIT is also able to carry out the required thickness measurements".

(IACS 2018 UR Z7 par. 1.4.2)

One of the most important criteria remarked in UR Z7 is about the level and quality of information provided when RIT are used, stating that "The RIT is to provide the information normally obtained from a close-up survey" (IACS 2018 UR Z7 par. 1.6.1).

Moreover, it is also clearly stated that the Surveyor is to be satisfied, meaning that he/she is the ultimate decision maker on the evaluation of the health status of the ship. In particular, if the RIT reveals damage or deterioration that requires attention, the Surveyor may require traditional survey to be undertaken without the use of a RIT (IACS 2018 UR Z7 par.1.6.6).

If the survey using RIT is not carried out by the Surveyor himself, it is to be conducted by a firm approved as a service supplier according to IACS UR Z17 and is to be witnessed by an attending surveyor of the Society (IACS 2018 UR Z7 par.1.6.3).

IACS UR Z17 rev.13 (January 2018) describes the certification process and requirements for "Firms engaged in survey using Remote Inspection Techniques (RIT) as an alternative means for Close-up Survey of the structure of ships and mobile offshore units" (Annex 1 Ch.16).

The supplier is responsible for the training and qualification of its operators. The supplier is also to maintain a documented training plan for personnel, including knowledge of Rule requirements for the structure of relevant ships types, recognition of structural deterioration, use of the reporting system and the like (IACS 2019 UR Z17 Annex 1 par.16.4).

Moreover, knowledge is also required of marine and/or offshore nomenclatures, the structural configuration of relevant ships types and Marine Offshore Units (MOUs), including internal structure, the remote inspection equipment and its operation etc. (IACS 2019 UR Z17 Annex 1 par.16.3).

Specific requirements for the equipment also exist. Remotely operated platform with data capture devices should be specifically capable of operation within an enclosed space; means of powering the platforms with sufficient capacity should be available to complete the required inspections, including spare batteries if applicable. Data collection devices which may include cameras should be capable of

capturing in high definition both video images and still images. Illumination equipment, high definition display screen with live high-definition feeds from inspection cameras, means of communication, data recording devices, as applicable, equipment for carrying out thickness gauging and/or non-destructive testing, as relevant to the work to be performed (when this is part of the service) should be available (IACS 2019 UR Z17 Annex 1 par.16.7).

Another UR, namely UR Z3, defines the requirements for « Periodical Survey of the Outside of the Ship's Bottom and Related Items », even if compliance with UR Z3 does not absolve the Owner from compliance with the requirements of SOLAS (the IMO convention for safety of life at sea) as amended, especially when shorter intervals between examination of the ship's bottom for certain types of ship are required, or other URs are applicable.

The outside of the ship's hull is to be examined for what concerns the following items (IACS 2018 UR Z3):

Z3.2.2 The shell plating is to be examined for excessive corrosion, or deterioration due to chafing or contact with the ground and for any undue unfairness or buckling. Special attention is to be paid to the connection between the bilge strakes and the bilge keels. Important plate unfairness or other deteriorations which do not necessitate immediate repairs are to be recorded.

Z3.2.3 Sea chests and their gratings, sea connections and overboard discharge valves and cocks and their fastenings to the hull or sea chests are to be examined. Valves and cocks need not be opened up more than once in a special survey period unless considered necessary by the Surveyor.

Z3.2.4 Visible parts of rudder, rudder pintles, rudder shafts and couplings and stern frame are to be examined. If considered necessary by the Surveyor, the rudder is to be lifted or the inspection plates removed for the examination of pintles. The clearance in the rudder bearings is to be ascertained and recorded. Where applicable, pressure test of the rudder may be required as deemed necessary by the surveyor.

Z3.2.5 Visible parts of propeller and stern bush, are to be examined. The clearance in the stern bush and the efficiency of the oil gland, if fitted, are to be ascertained and recorded. For controllable pitch propellers, the Surveyor is to be satisfied with the fastenings and tightness of hub and blade sealing. Dismantling need not to be carried out unless considered necessary by the Surveyor.

Z3.2.6 Visible parts of side thrusters are to be examined. Other propulsion systems which also have manoeuvring characteristics (such as directional propellers, vertical axis propellers, water jet units) are to be examined externally with focus on the condition of gear housing, propeller blades, bolt locking and other fastening arrangements. Sealing arrangement of propeller blades, propeller shaft and steering column shall be verified.

Usually, the outer shell is examined in dry dock conditions, but inspection may be also carried out in- water, with some caveats, following the general criterion that the in-water survey is to provide the information normally obtained from a docking survey. For example, special consideration shall be given to ascertaining rudder bearing clearances and stern bush clearances of oil stern bearings based on a review of the operating history, on board testing and stern oil sample reports.

The In-water Survey is to be carried out with the ship in sheltered water and preferably with weak tidal streams and currents. The in-water visibility and the cleanliness of the hull below the waterline is to be clear enough to permit a meaningful examination which allows the surveyor and diver from the in-water survey firm to determine the condition of the plating, appendages and the welding. The Classification Society is to be satisfied with the methods of localisation of the divers or Remotely Operated Vehicle (ROV) on the plating, which should make use where necessary of permanent markings on the plating at selected points.

If the In-water Survey reveals damage or deterioration that requires early attention, the Surveyor may in any case require that the ship be dry-docked in order that a detailed survey can be undertaken and the necessary repairs carried out. Reporting requirements are also to be complied with in class-related and statutory surveys. Such requirements are precisely described in UR Z10.X family of documents. They describe the tabular format to be adopted for data for each type of ship where the thickness measurement data are taken. As an example, some tables are shown here below for oil tankers. Similar tables are described for other types of ships.

Figure 11: Examples for thickness measurement Reports

5.Consolidated list (Matrix) of end-user requirements

This is an aggregated list of requirements (Table2) from all stakeholder perspective as depicted from Section 4 of this document. Owner of requirement is stated while each user need is separated in mandatory, stressing the necessity to be satisfied, and optional, or in other words a "nice to have" functionality.

Table 2: User Requirements Matrix

#	Description	Owner of requirement	Status (Optional or Mandatory)
1	Spot potential hull integrity problems at an early stage and minimize operational downtime	Ship Owner/Manager	Mandatory
2	Full coverage of critical parts of hull plating and visible parts of propulsion/steering system for inspection and cleaning	Ship Owner/Manager & Class society	Mandatory
3	Able to provide underwater service within ship port stay time limits	Ship Owner/Manager	Mandatory
4	Ensure reliable data streams and full information coverage.	Ship Owner/Manager	Mandatory
5	Potential integration with ship maintenance software (PMS) for recording due maintenance job orders	Ship Owner/Manager	Optional
6	Assess severity and assist owner's surveyor in the decision for an on spot repair or cleaning while ship is afloat	Ship Owner/Manager	Mandatory
7	BUGWRIGHT2 underwater system should consider restrictionsimposed by port authorities and comply with the harbour water quality regulations securing effective filtering of the removed fouling.	Ship Owner/Manager	Mandatory
8	Provide underwater measurement of hull roughness	Ship Owner/Manager & Service Provider	Optional
9	Operate at least in the same environmental conditions as those assumed for the inspection of a human surveyor in person	Service Provider	Mandatory
10	Provide visual information with level of detail, colour, contrast, brightness etc. sufficient for detecting and ranking defects in a way that is comparable to the information available to the surveyor when operating in person. Where Data collection from optical devices is considered, devices should be capable of capturing in high definition both video images and still images	Service Provider & Class society	Mandatory
11	Provide measurement data, e.g. steel plate thickness, at least with the same accuracy and for the same locations as in traditional surveys, as described in the relevant rules and guidelines	Service Provider & Class society	Mandatory

12	The time required for a complete scan of the space to be inspected should be compatible with the time constraints of a typical class survey	Service Provider & Class society	Mandatory
13	The power source should be such to allow effective performance of assigned tasks.	Service Provider & Class society	Mandatory
14	Utilize different types of cleaning methods depending on hull coating type and condition as well as on the marine fouling type and degree	Service Provider	Mandatory
15	Integration with fleet operational database of the ship management company to retrieve information (vessel particulars, historical data of sister, statistics etc.) to be correlated with data captured by BUGWRIGHT2 system necessary for condition assessment procedure	Service Provider	Mandatory
16	The decision-making procedure shall evaluate and utilize appropriately defined metrics	Service Provider	Optional
17	Should facilitate external and internal examination, including close-up surveys and gauging	Class society	Mandatory
18	Provide the survey results normally obtained by the Surveyor. Results obtained should be acceptable by an attending Surveyor	Class society	Mandatory
19	The use of BUGWRIGTH2 system may be restricted or limited where there is a record or indication of abnormal deterioration or damage	Class society	Mandatory
20	Should be capable of operation within an enclosed space	Class society	Mandatory
21	For in water survey and concerning methods of localisation on the plating, System should make use where necessary of permanent markings on the plating at selected points.	Class society	Mandatory
22	BUGWRIGHT2 data recording and classification should comply with standardized format of reporting	Class society	Mandatory
23	Potential of fill in automatically reports with necessary input avoiding manual data entry	All	Optional

6.Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for system validation

In the table bellows are listed Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) described in the project proposal, adjusted where needed on the basis of additional knowledge acquired since the initial writing.

Firstly, a list of KPIs is focused on high-level technical requirements and pilot and impact requirements is given in Tables 3 and 4. A corresponding list of KPIs of BUGWRIGHT2 technologies is provided in Tables 5 to 10.

Гаble <mark>3</mark> : High	-Level Technical	Requirements KPIs
-----------------------------	------------------	-------------------

#	КРІ	Metric
1	Autonomous outer hull service: The main objective of the project is to make large structure inspection a mostly autonomous process.	Conduct a complete visual and acoustic inspection or a complete hull cleaning within one port stay, typically at least 8 hours.
2	Precise localisation and navigation on large low-textured structures: The ability to autonomously navigate on a low-texture surface using a combination of vision and other sensors designed for storage tanks or vessel hulls with a surface over 10000m ² .	Areas/objects of interest can be localised on a hull with a 5cm repeatability.
3	Heterogeneous multi-robot inspection and cleaning: The objective is to enable multiple crawlers assisted by MAVs and AUVs to build a globally consistent picture of the inspected structure and to further reduce the time required to inspect or clean a given structure.	Demonstrator operating with at least 3 MAVs, 3 AUVs, and 4 crawlers on a large ship at quay. Linear performance increase with the number of robots involved in the task.
4	Cross-domain autonomous operation and inspection: Besides the heterogeneous aspect above, the objective is to enable robot operation in different domains. The aim is to avoid dry-dock time by using a team of crawlers or AUVs operating above and below the water surface.	Complete hull inspection of a ship at quay with 4 crawlers, 2 operating above water, 2 underwater. Complete a visual hull inspection with a team of 4 AUVs.
5	Advanced inspection technologies: The objective is to deploy latest advances in inspection technologies based on guided waves to provide a more exhaustive hull status coverage.	Defects bigger than 5cm from a single plate will be detected with measurements from at most 4 positions and localized with a precision better than 10cm.
6	Remote inspection through virtual-reality: The objective is to integrate VR technologies to enable remote inspection capabilities and thus support the system deployment in the field while considering situational awareness and human factors	6.1. Remote VR interaction is demonstrated to 20 experts.
		6.2 The user interface quality is evaluated with a usability rate (SUS) score above 68.
		6.3 The rendering performance is above 60 frames per seconds.

Table 4: Pilot Impact KPIs

#	КРІ	Metrics
1	Large-scale pilots – from its inception, BUGWRIGHT2 is designed as a large scale pilot whose performances can be validated through extensive field testing on end- users' sites with the support of inspection service providers offering initial services already within the project phase.	The BugWrigh2 inspection services are offered to at least 20 vessels per year.
2	Complete value-chain validation – robot providers, inspection service providers, certification agencies, shipyards, harbours and ship owners will be involved in the specification and evaluation of the system throughout the project to ensure that integration is focused on practical problems with a clear path to market and realistic market viability.	BUGWRIGHT2 technology and processes are installed on the site of at least two end- users.
3	Legal insight, human factors and norms – In addition to technologists and industrials, BUGWRIGHT2 involves partners from the fields of maritime laws and workplace psychology to understand the conditions for its legal and social acceptance in the European workplace. Furthermore, the development of a strategy towards the evolutions of servicing rules will be conducted by WMU through its strong link to the International Maritime Organization.	 3.1 Evaluation of distinct success factors for user acceptance, required knowledge and skills. Recommendations for HR managers. 3.2 A strategy for the use of autonomous robots to meet international and European treaty inspection requirements is proposed.
4	Dissemination and Exploitation – in addition to the experimental commercial offering, we aim at a strong dissemination strategy through publications in conferences and journals, presentations in professional fairs as well as a video coverage that explains the project in plain language.	Given the size and strength of the consortium, it should be possible to aim for 30 journal publications within the project lifetime. Through the large-scale pilot, 80 potential customers have been acquainted with the experimental service.

	Table 5: Aerial Platforms (MAV) KPIs	
#	KPI	Metric
1	Stable flight around the inspected structure	Position keeping accuracy better than 50cm with less than 5kts of wind.
2	Sufficient flight autonomy	Flight autonomy above 10 minutes.
3	Observation of the structure in sufficient detail	Projection of processed pixels on the surface < 2mm (subject to change depending on working distance for optimum 3D reconstruction).
4	Precise localisation of the acquired data	Drone pose estimation around 20-25 cm, 3-5 degrees.
5	Safe operation	Safe/successful mission execution close to the hull with autonomous obstacle avoidance.
6	Survey in less time than a port stay	Coverage of at least 600m ² /drone within one battery charge. Coverage of a full hull (aerial part) with a sufficient number of robots (e.g. 2-3).

Page 33

Table 6: Underwater Platforms (AUV) KPIs

#	KPI	Metric
1	Stable navigation around the hull	Position keeping accuracy better than 50cm with less than 0.25 m/s of current
2	Sufficient operational autonomy	Flight autonomy between one and two hours.
3	Hull observation in sufficient details	Projection of processed pixels on the surface <2mm
4	Precise localisation of the acquired data	AUV pose estimation better than <20cm, 1 degree.
5	Safe operation, without tether entanglement	Autonomous obstacle avoidance around the hull and in particular for objects larger than 3cm (cables)
6	Survey in less time than a port stay	Complete coverage of one side of a 200m-hull (underwater part) with sufficient resolution in less than 4 hours with 4 AUVs.

	Table 7: Crawler KPIs		
#	КРІ	Metric	
1	Safe operation, without tether entanglement	Proper handling of angle discontinuities up to 5 degrees. Entanglement avoidance for positive obstacles of >7mm shall be avoided by the crawler and >30mm width negative obstacle shall be avoided by the crawler. Positive & negative water flow pit shall be avoided by the Crawler.	
2	Precise localisation of the acquired data	Crawler localisation better than 10cm globally, 5cm with respect to the current plate.	
3	Sufficient observation density	100 measurement points per m2, where deemed necessary by the surveyors.	
4	Survey in less time than a port stay	Complete coverage of one side of a 200m-hull (aerial part) with sufficient resolution in less than 4 hours with 4 crawlers; may depends on the scanning mesh and the observation technology on board.	

Table 8: Inspection technologies KPIs

#	КРІ	Metric
1	Precise ultrasonic localisation on a plate	Relative localisation better than 5cm on a plate with less than 5 measurements points, at most 1s per measurement.
2	Damage detection for thickness losses	Detection of thickness loss > 0.1mm, in areas larger than 10x10cm (precision of a standard UT device). Some Influential Parameters such as metallurgical properties, plate roughness, coupling and temperature can affect the global measure exactness. Under the review of CETIM, a +/- 0.15mm global exactness with a 90% confidence may be adequate with the field parameters.

BUGWRIGHT2 Grant Agreement No. 871260

3	Visual detection of damages	Detection of visually detectable damage larger than 1x1cm (e.g. rust patch, pitting), from the air or underwater.
4	Visual detection of fouling	Detection of visual fouling thicker than 5mm over a 10x10cm patch.

Table 9: VR technologies KPIs

#	КРІ	Metric
1	Demonstrating remote VR interface	Remote VR interaction is demonstrated to 20 experts.
2	Usability of user interface	The user interface quality is evaluated with a usability rate (SUS) score above 68.
3	Rendering performance	The rendering performance is above 60 frames per seconds.

#	КРІ	Metric
1	Ensure user acceptance	Evaluation of distinct success factors for user acceptance, required knowledge and skills. Recommendations for HR managers.
2	Database capacity	Database structure and capacity shall be adequate to hold data for a time period of at least 10 years to facilitate time history analysis and prediction.
3	Database performance	Transaction completeness time shall be lower than 2 standard deviations from the average baseline value.
4	Data management system security	System must be ranked in the lower severity range according to the Common Vulnerability Scoring System.
5	Predictive maintenance reliability	The success rate of the decision support system in defect detection and identification shall be higher than 80%.
6	AR performance	AR shall have at least three (3) attributes to support maintenance

Table 10: Decision support technologies KPIs

7. Mission scenario definition

The main objective of BUGWRIGHT2 research project was to develop an adaptable autonomous robotic solution for servicing ship outer hull and demonstrate its capabilities and benefits against traditional techniques to shipping industry. The core concept of BUGWRIGHT2 is to combine the survey capabilities of autonomous Micro Air Vehicles, small Autonomous Underwater Vehicles and magnetic-wheeled crawlers directly operating on the hull surface. The detailed information provided by the utilised robotic systems will be integrated into a real-time visualisation and decision-support user-interface taking advantage of virtual reality technologies.

The capabilities and benefits of the BUGWRIGHT2 solution has begun to be demonstrated in the shipping industry by offering experimental commercial services for robotic ship inner parts and outer hull inspection and cleaning to the community.

Specifically, four (4) Onboard Demonstrative Surveys have already been performed with the use of the

robotic platforms of BUGWRIGHT2, which fulfil the Classification Societies requirements, as they are defined for Special and Intermediate Surveys of Bulk Carriers. These successfully accomplished missions are indicated in the following Table 11:

	Mission 1	Mission 2	Mission 3	Mission 4
Ship Type	Bulk Carrier	Bulk Carrier	Ro-Ro	Bulk Carrier
Date	January 2021	May 2021	July 2021	September 2021
Place	Vattika, Greece	Syros, Greece	Perama Greece	Rijeka, Croatia
Ship Space	Cargo Holds, Ballast Tanks	Cargo Holds, Ballast Tanks	Decks, Outer Hull, Underwater	Cargo Holds, Ballast Tanks
Operational Condition	Anchorage	Dry-docked	Berthed	Berthed
pectionType	Intermediate Class Survey (IS3) (Visual Inspectionand Thickness Measurements)	Intermediate Class Survey (IS2) (Visual Inspection and Thickness Measurements)	Condition Survey	Intermediate Class Survey (IS3) (Visual Inspection and Thickness Measurements)
Robotic System	MAV, AUV, Crawler	MAV, AUV, Crawler	MAV, AUV, Crawler	MAV, Crawler

Table 11: Accomplished Missions

The valuable feedback we got from these applications of the BUGWRIGHT2 platforms was at first the significance of the application of the robots in the inner parts of the vessels (the Cargo Holds and the Ballast Tanks), which need common and extensive surveying – in accordance with the classification societies' requirements. We point also the need for the development of localization and navigation algorithms in confined spaces.

As a very positive feedback, we report that the quality of the robotic platforms' outputs (videos, photos, measurements) is very good and fulfils the Classification Societies' standards.

In the following period of the project more experimental commercial services are foreseen to be offered, especially in three stations:

- 1. Trondheim Harbour (TRH), Norway; robotic maker (BEYE) will offer visual inspection service using the evolved AUV platform Blueye X3, which can perform also thickness measurements.
- 2. Arsenal do Alfeite shipyard (ADA), Portugal; a demonstration will be organised, where the applicability of the BUGWRIGHT2 robotic platforms will be demonstrated.
- 3. Perama, Greece; a mock-up is currently under development, by Glafcos Marine (GLM), Danaos (DAN) and Starbulk (SBK), partners of the BUGWRIGHT2 project.

Special attention should be paid to that last station of experimental services in Greece, since the mock-up that is under construction will be an established testing facility, where we will avoid problems that we face during onboard inspections, such as:

- 1. The vessels are usually in service, meaning they carry cargo, which makes the testing of the robots far more demanding in terms of accessibility and efficiency of the measuring tools
- 2. Strict safety protocols are applied and permission is guaranteed only to experienced personnel, which is a serious restriction to the accessibility on the vessels for members of the academic society and other partners of the project.
- 3. The fact that the vessels are in service poses also strict time limitations to the field trials of the robotic platforms, since all onboard activities have to be performed as scheduled and no repetitions or experimentations are feasible.

The availability of a testing facility onshore, which will be of realistic dimensions, will answer to all the aforementioned issues and will offer to the consortium the opportunity to test each and every technological novelty on time and extensively.

The mission scenarios we consider to follow in the mock-up have been designed according to the Classification Societies requirements for the Close-up Inspection and the Thickness Measurements, which are presented in the following Figure 12 and Figure 13. We want to underline that the mission scenarios we consider to follow in the mock-up are exactly the same we follow in every onboard field trial of the robots, since in both cases we follow the Classification Societies' rules.

Figure 12: Terminology of the Mock-up parts

BUGWRIGHT2

Figure 13: Classification Societies' requirements for Thickness Measurements on this section (indicated as bold dots)

- A. The UAV will follow circular paths around the hull structure so as to perform the Close-up inspection, as depicted in Figure 14: Paths and measurements taken by the UAV
- B. Moreover, Glafcos Marine has proceeded to a significant improvement of the UAV's capabilities by adjusting a thickness measurement gauge. Thus, the UAV is capable to perform also thickness measurements in the higher parts of the structure, which constitute definitely the worst-case scenario in terms of accessibility.

Page 38

Figure 14: Paths and measurements taken by the UAV Version 3

A. The Magnetic Crawler will perform Thickness Measurements both in the inner part of the mock-up which represents a Cargo Hold's Side Shell section and in the outer part of the mock-up standing for the outer hull of the vessel. The scenarios for the crawler include the measurements on the Side Shell Plating, the Side Shell Frames, the Flanges and the outer hull as prescribed by the Class rules. Two representative scenarios are depicted in Figure 15.

Figure 15: Paths and measurements taken by the magnetic crawler

BUGWRIGHT2 Grant Agreement No. 871260

B. Finally, the underwater ROV will perform both Close-up inspection and Thickness Measurements in the Double Bottom and Hopper Ballast Tanks, as well as on the underwater part of the outer hull of the mock-up. In Figure 16, we give its path on the top view of the mock-up and the tank, where it will be submerged.

Figure 16: Paths and measurements taken by the ROV

In this point, we note that the last scenario for the ROV, where it skips from the Web to the outer hull, as well as the third scenario for the crawler (Figure 4), where it scans all the Web both on the Frames and in the Ballast Tanks, may not be realistic. In practice, we have to perform these activities separately in real surveys. However, they are considered as one mission in the mock-up for the sake of the demonstration of the platforms' capabilities.

Based on the aforementioned mission scenarios for the robotic platforms, we sum up all the missions that we plan to carry out on the mock-up in the following Table 12:

Table 12: Missions on the Mock-up

UAV	Magnetic Crawler	Underwater ROV
Close-up inspection	Close-up inspect, on	Close-up inspection
Thickness measurements	Thickness measurements	Thickness measurements
Topside tank slopping plating, Side shell plating, Side shell frames, outer hull	Hopper slopping plating, Topside tank slopping plating, Side shell plating, Side shell frames, outer	Hopper & Double bottom Ballast Tanks, Underwater parts of the outer hull
	hull	

Except from the demonstrative activities that are planned to be performed around the Mock-up and in Trondheim Harbour and Arsenal do Alfeite shipyard, more onboard missions are planned to be carried out in the following period of the project. Indicatively, we cite the following, they will be organized in close collaboration among the partners of the consortium (GLM, DAN, SBK).

Table 13: Future Missions Onboard Ships In Service

	Mission 5	Mission 6
Ship Type Bulk Carrier		Container
Ship Space	Cargo Holds, Ballast Tanks	Hull, Underwater
Operational Condition	Anchorage	Berthed
InspectionType	Intermediate Class Survey (Visual Inspection and Thickness Measurements)	Demonstrative Condition Survey (Visual Inspection, Thickness Measurements)
Robotic System	MAV, AUV, Crawler	MAV, AUV, Crawler

8. Conclusions

BUGWRIGHT2 is delivering an advanced autonomous robotic technology that will encompass different platforms in one ecosystem executing a full-fledged qualitative inspection and cleaning service in ship's outer hull aiming at minimizing lead operational time and complying with reporting and regulatory schema. In this deliverable, a first approach to identify user needs that BUGWRIGHT2 technology will be called to satisfy, was made. Needs were captured internally from project partners, but also feedback retrieved from the workshop with stakeholders held remotely on the 6th of October 2021.

In this second version of the deliverable, a more comprehensive presentation of the state of the art was given including technology updates in reference to the first version as well as a comparison statement with the technology advances which are brought forward in BUGWRIGHT2. Moreover, the end-users requirement elicitation, which again followed a multi-perspective approach (Ship operators, service providers, shipyards and classification society), were further elaborated, refined and confirmed by the external stakeholders.

On top of already identified in project's DoA high level key Performance Indicators (KPIs) has been performed so to revise and extend metrics that should be recorded in order to satisfy user requirements. In this respect, KPIs were described and measured, covering all different features and components (inspection, visualisation, decision support) as well as individual platforms of the system (MAV, UAV, Crawlers).

Project's robotic components (Micro Air Vehicles, Small Autonomous Underwater Vehicles and Magnetic-wheeled Crawlers) are integrating inspection capabilities from air and while attached to the surface either above or below waterline. All these separated technologies will work together in one autonomous system that BUGWRIGHT2 delivers facing holistically current challenges and complexities in navigation, positioning, power loads, data localisation, acquisition time limits, safe operation and detection precision. Capabilities and benefits of the BUGWRIGHT2 ecosystem is planned to be demonstrated in defined mission scenarios on both a mock-up structure and onboard ships in service. In this deliverable, an introduction of the mission scenarios is given whereas a more analytical description will follow in the second version of D9.1.

References

[Alexis et al. 2016] Alexis, K., Darivianakis, G., Burri, M., Siegwart, R. Aerial robotic contact-based inspection: Planning and control. Autononomous Robots 40 (4), 631–655.

[Bonnin-Pascual et al. 2012] Bonnin-Pascual, F., Garcia-Fidalgo, E., Ortiz, A. Semi-autonomous visual inspection of vessels assisted by an unmanned micro aerial vehicle. In: IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, pp. 3955–3961.

[Bonnin-Pascual 2015] F. Bonnin-Pascual, A. Ortiz, E. Garcia-Fidalgo, and J. P. Company. A micro-aerial platform for vessel visual inspection based on supervised autonomy. In IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems.

[Bonnin-Pascual and Ortiz 2016] Bonnin-Pascual, F., Ortiz, A. A flying tool for sensing vessel structure defects using image contrast-based saliency. IEEE Sensors Journal, 16 (15), 6114–6121.

[Bonnin-Pascual and Ortiz 2019] Bonnin-Pascual, F., Ortiz, A. On the Use of Robots and Vision Technologies for the Inspection of Vessels: a Survey on Recent Advances. Ocean Engineering, 190, 106420.

[Bonnin-Pascual et al. 2019] Francisco Bonnin-Pascual, Alberto Ortiz, Emilio Garcia-Fidalgo, and Joan P. Company-Corcoles. A reconfigurable framework to turn a MAV into an effective tool for vessel inspection. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 56, pp. 191-211.

[Burri et al. 2012] Burri, M., Nikolic, J., Hürzeler, C., Caprari, G., Siegwart, R. Aerial service robots for visual inspection of thermal power plant boiler systems. In: International Conference on Applied Robotics for the Power Industry, pp. 70–75.

[Cacace et al. 2015] Cacace, J., Finzi, A., Lippiello, V., Loianno, G., Sanzone, D. Aerial service vehicles for industrial inspection: Task decomposition and plan execution. Applied Intelligence, 42 (1), 49–62.

[Campo et al. 2016] Campo, L.V., Corrales, J.C., Ledezma, A. An aerial autonomous robot for complete coverage outdoors. In: Workshop of Physical Agents.

[Campoy et al. 2001] Campoy, P., Garcia, P.J., Barrientos, A., del Cerro, J., Aguirre, I., Roa, A., Garcia, R., Muñoz, J.M. An stereoscopic vision system guiding an autonomous helicopter for overhead power cable inspection. In: International Workshop RobVis.

[Castillo et al. 2005] Pedro Castillo, Rogelio Lozano, and Alejandro E. Dzul. Modelling and control of miniflying machines. Advances in Industrial Control. Springer.

[Cho and Kim 2015] Choi, K.Y., Kim, S.S. Morphological analysis and classification of types of surface corrosion damage by digital image processing. Corrosion Science, 47 (1), 1–15.

[Ellenberg et al. 2016] Ellenberg, A., Kontsos, A., Moon, F., Bartoli, I. Bridge related damage quantification using unmanned aerial vehicle imagery. Structural Control Health Monitoring, 23, 1168–1179.

[Eschmann et al. 2012] Eschmann, C., Kuo, C.M., Kuo, C.H., Boller, C. Unmanned aircraft systems for remote building inspection and monitoring. In: European Workshop on Structural Health Monitoring, pp. 1–8.

[Fang et al. 2017] Zheng Fang, Shichao Yang, Sezal Jain, Geetesh Dubey, Stephan Roth, Silvio Maeta, Stephen Nuske, Yu Zhang, and Sebastian Scherer. Robust autonomous flight in constrained and visually degraded shipboard environments. Journal of Field Robotics 34(1), 25-52.

[Gohl et al. 2014] Gohl, P., Burri, M., Omari, S., Rehder, J., Nikolic, J., Achtelik, M., Siegwart, R. Towards autonomous mine inspection. In: International Conference on Applied Robotics for the Power Industry.

[Hallermann and Morgenthal 2014] Hallermann, N., Morgenthal, G. Visual inspection strategies for large bridges using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV). In: International Conference on Bridge Maintenance, Safety and Management.

[Høglund 2014] Høglund, S. Autonomous inspection of wind turbines and buildings using an UAV (Master's thesis). Norwegian University of Science and Technology, URL <u>http://hdl.handle.net/11250/261287</u>.

[IACS 2016] Rec.42 Guidelines for Use of Remote Inspection Techniques for Surveys. Retrieved from http://www.iacs.org.uk/publications/recommendations/41-60/.

[IACS 2018] UR Z3 Periodical survey of the outside of the ship's bottom and related items. Retrieved from http://www.iacs.org.uk/publications/unified-requirements/ur-z/?page=1.

[IACS 2018] UR Z7 Hull Classification Survey. Retrieved from http://www.iacs.org.uk/publications/unified-requirements/ur-z/.

[IACS 2018] UR Z10.1 Hull Survey of oil tankers. Retrieved from <u>http://www.iacs.org.uk/publications/unified-requirements/ur-z/</u>.

[IACS 2019] UR Z17 Procedural requirements for service suppliers. Retrieved from http://www.iacs.org.uk/publications/unified-requirements/ur-z/?page=2.

[Jimenez-Cano et a. 2015] A. Jimenez-Cano, J. Braga, G. Heredia, and A. Ollero. Aerial manipulator for structure inspection by contact from the underside. In Proc. IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems.

[Jones 2005] Jones, D. Power line inspection - An UAV concept. In: the IEE Forum on Autonomous Systems.

[Lippiello and Siciliano 2012] Lippiello, V., Siciliano, B. Wall inspection control of a VTOL unmanned aerial vehicle based on a stereo optical flow. In: IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, pp. 4296–4302.

[Marconi et al. 2012] Marconi, L., Basile, F., Caprari, G., Carloni, R., Chiacchio, P., Hurzeler, C., Lippiello, V., Naldi, R., Nikolic, J., Siciliano, B., Stramigioli, S., Zwicker, E. Aerial service robotics: the AlRobots perspective. In: International Conference on Applied Robotics for the Power Industry, pp. 64–69.

[Martinez et al. 2014] Martinez, C., Sampedro, C., Chauhan, A., Campoy, P. Towards autonomous detection and tracking of electric towers for aerial power line inspection. In: International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems, pp. 284–295.

[Máthé and Buşoniu 2015] Máthé, K., Buşoniu, L. Vision and control for UAVs: A survey of general methods and of inexpensive platforms for infrastructure inspection. Sensors 15, 14887–14916.

[McAree et al. 2016] McAree, O., Aitken, J.M., Veres, S.M. A model-based design framework for safety verification of a semi-autonomous inspection drone. In: UK Automatic Control Conference.

[Michael et al 2012] Michael, N., Shen, S., Motha, K., Mulgaonkar, Y., Kumar, V., Nagatani, K., Okada, Y., Kiribayashi, S., Otake, K., Yoshida, K., Ohno, K., Takeuchi, E., Tadokoro, S. Collaborative mapping of an earthquake-damaged building via ground and aerial robots. Journal of Field Robotics 29 (5), 832–841.

[Nikolic et al. 2013] Nikolic, J., Burri, M., Rehder, J., Leutenegger, S., Huerzeler, C., Siegwart, R. A UAV system for inspection of industrial facilities. In: IEEE Aerospace Conference, pp. 1–8.

[Omari et al. 2014] Omari, S., Gohl, P., Burri, M., Achtelik, M., Siegwart, R. Visual industrial inspection using aerial robots. In: International Conference on Applied Robotics for the Power Industry, pp. 1–5.

[Ortiz et al. 2014] Ortiz, A., Bonnin-Pascual, F., Garcia-Fidalgo, E. Vessel inspection: A micro-aerial vehiclebased approach. Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems, 76, 151–167.

[Ortiz et al. 2015] Ortiz, A., Bonnin-Pascual, F., Garcia-Fidalgo, E., Company-Corcoles, J.P. Visual inspection of vessels by means of a micro-aerial vehicle: an artificial neural network approach for corrosion detection. In: Robot - Iberian Robotics Conference (Luís P. Reis, António P. Moreira, Pedro U. Lima, Luis Montano, Victor Muñoz-Martinez (Eds.), Advances in Robotics, vol. 418, Springer, pp. 223–234.)

[Ozaslan et al. 2015] Tolga Ozaslan, Shaojie Shen, Yash Mulgaonkar, Nathan Michael, and Vijay Kumar. Inspection of penstocks and featureless tunnel-like environments using Micro UAVs. In Luis Mejias, Peter Corke, and Jonathan Roberts, editors, International Conference on Field and Service Robotics. Springer International Publishing.

[Quarter et al. 2014] Quater, P.B., Grimaccia, F., Leva, S., Mussetta, M., Aghaei, M. Light unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) for cooperative inspection of PV plants. IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics, 4 (4), 1107–1113.

[Roberts 2016] Roberts, N.S. Corrosion detection in enclosed environments using remote systems (Master's thesis). Alfred University, URL http://hdl.handle.net/10829/7248.

[Sa et al. 2015] Sa, I., Hrabar, S., Corke, P. Inspection of pole-like structures using a visual-inertial aided VTOL platform with shared autonomy. Sensors 15 (9), 22003–22048.

[Sampedro et al. 2014] Sampedro, C., Martinez, C., Chauhan, A., Campoy, P. A supervised approach to electric tower detection and classification for power line inspection. In: IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence.

[Santamaria and Andrade 2014] Santamaria, A., Andrade, J. Hierarchical task control for aerial inspection. In: EuRathlon-ARCAS Workshop and Summer School on Field Robotics.

[Satler et al. 2014] Satler, M., Unetti, M., Giordani, N., Avizzano, C.A., Tripicchio, P. Towards an autonomous flying robot for inspections in open and constrained spaces. In: Multi-Conference on Systems, Signals and Devices.

[Serrrano 2011] Serrano, N.E. Autonomous quadrotor unmanned aerial vehicle for culvert inspection (Master's thesis). Massachusetts Institute of Technology, URL http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/67752.

[Weiss et al. 2013] Stephan Weiss, Markus W. Achtelik, Simon Lynen, Michael C. Achtelik, Laurent Kneip, Margarita Chli, and Roland Siegwart. Monocular vision for long-term micro aerial vehicle state estimation: A compendium. Journal of Field Robotics, 30 (5), 803-831.

[Wu et al. 2012] Wu, H., Lv, M., Liu, C.A., Liu, C.Y. Planning efficient and robust behaviors for model-based power tower inspection. In: International Conference on Applied Robotics for the Power Industry, pp. 163–166.

[HYDREX. 2010]. Ship Hull Performance in the Post-TBT Era. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=home.showFile&rep=file& fil=ECOTEC_Ship_Hull_Performance.pdf

Appendix I

Workshop Meeting Agenda

Stakeholder meeting – 06th October 2021

AGENDA

Time	Торіс	Speaker / moderator
10AM	Connection and solving connection issues Welcome	Laura Monnier/Cédric Pradalier (CNRS)
10:10	Presentation of the project and its objectives	Cedric Pradalier (CNRS)
10:25	First analysis	Leonidas Drikos (GLM)
10:45	Round-table: The Challenges of automation and artificial intelligence and more particularly of autonomous robots in the maritime sector for the inspection and maintenance of ships. Presentation of automation from 3 aspects: - technical barriers (10-15 min) - commercial barriers (10-15 min) - legal insight (10 min) - psychological barriers (10-15 min)	Stéphane Faussurier (IEIC) Cédric Pradalier (CNRS) Daniel Tawal-Jamault (IEIC) Tafsir Johansson (WMU) Nathalie Schauffel (UT)
11:40	Questions	Stéphane Faussurier (IEIC)
12:30	End of the meeting	1

0

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No. 871260